

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,571	03/29/2004	Kazuhiro Fujii	SN-US045037	2796
	7590 11/29/2007 OUNSELORS, LLP	I EXAMINER I		
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 700		JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-2680		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/810,571	FUJII, KAZUHIRO	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William C. Joyce	3682	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LOI  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror  - If NO period for reply is specified to reply within the second reply received by the form of the second reply received by the second reply recei	NGER, FROM THE MAILING D available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
2a)⊠ This action is I 3)□ Since this app	ication is in condition for allowa	September 2007.  Se action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro  Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-27 7) □ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/o on is objected to by the Examine filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc ot request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)∐ The oath or de	claration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)	4-1 (DTO 000)	<b></b>		
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate	

10/810,571 Art Unit: 3682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed September 4, 2007 for the above identified patent application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagase (JP 8-26174) in view of Crum et al. (USP 6,276,230).

Nagase discloses an electrical bicycle shift control device comprising: a handlebar mounting portion that is configured to be fixedly mounted on a free end of a handlebar having a center axis; and an electrical shift control switch portion fixedly mounted to the handlebar mounting portion, the electrical shift control switch portion including an operating member arranged and configured to be selectively moved relative to the handlebar mounting portion between a neutral position and a first actuating position.

Nagase does not disclose a mounting portion that is fixedly mounted in a free end of the handlebar, wherein the electrical shift control switch is mounted to the handlebar mounting portion. However, the prior art to Crum et al. teaches the claim limitations of mounting a control sensor onto an end of a bicycle handlebar, wherein the

sensor is mounted to a mounting portion (250,260), the mounting portion being fixedly mounted in a free end of a handlebar. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shift control of Nagase such that the sensor arrangement is mounted within an end portion of a handlebar, as taught by Crum et al., motivation being to provide a control device that is compact, easily assembled, and relatively inexpensive.

With respect to claims 24-25, Nagase illustrates the electrical shift control switch portion having an electrical wire (61,62) extending from an opening, the electrical wire opening extending in a direction parallel to the center axis of the free end of the handlebar such that the electrical wire can be routed along the handlebar. Alternatively, Crum et al. illustrates the sensor wire (140) extending along an outer surface of a handle bar.

With respect to claim 26, Nagase discloses the operating member having an arcshaped operating surface that extends circumferentially at least partially about the center axis when the handlebar mounting portion is fixedly mounted on the free end of the handlebar.

With respect to claim 27, Nagase does not disclose the operating surface extending less than 180 degrees about the center axis. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change the shape of the operating surface disclosed by Nagase, so as to extend less than 180 degrees about the center axis, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change

in size is generally recognized as being with the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/810,571

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William G. Joyce "/25/07